Appeal: 09-7956 Doc: 24 Filed: 02/25/2010 Pg: 1 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-7956

GARY L. WISE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

JON OZMINT, Director of South Carolina Department of Corrections, individually and in their official capacities; KNOWLIN, Warden of Turbeville Correctional TCI, individually and in their Institution official capacities; MS. BRADSHAW, Associate Warden, individually and in their official capacities; MS. COTHRAN, Associate Warden, individually and in their official capacities; HOLIDAY, Law Library Clerk, individually and in their official capacities; GENELL HAM, Ms., Computer Teacher, individually and in their official capacities; KENNETH RAINWATER, Formal IGC, individually and in their official capacities; MS. HODGE, Mailroom Clerk, individually and in their official capacities; MS. KIRBY, Mailroom Clerk, individually and in their official capacities; MAJOR SHARP; ANGELA BROWN; OFFICER GOODEL,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Greenville. Henry F. Floyd, District Judge. (6:09-cv-00153-HFF)

Submitted: February 18, 2010 Decided: February 25, 2010

Before WILKINSON, MICHAEL, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Appeal: 09-7956 Doc: 24 Filed: 02/25/2010 Pg: 2 of 3

Gary L. Wise, Appellant Pro Se. Norma Anne Turner Jett, NESS & JETT, LLC, Bamberg, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 09-7956 Doc: 24 Filed: 02/25/2010 Pg: 3 of 3

PER CURIAM:

Gary L. Wise appeals the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation to grant Defendants summary judgment on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) claims against them. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. Wise v. Ozmint, 6:09-cv-00153-HFF (D.S.C. Oct. 6, 2009). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED